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Executive Summary

Applications are the engines that drive today’s digital businesses. When the infrastructure that powers those applications is difficult to administer, or fails, businesses and their IT organisations are severely impacted. Traditionally, IT assumed much of the responsibility to ensure availability and performance. In the digital era, however, the industry needs to evolve and reset the requirements on vendors.

HPE Nimble Storage has broken away from convention and transformed how storage is managed and supported with the HPE InfoSight predictive analytics platform. HPE engaged ESG to conduct a quantitative survey of the HPE Nimble Storage installed base, as well as non-HPE Nimble Storage customers, to better assess how HPE InfoSight positively impacts customer environments. Both HPE InfoSight telemetry data and ESG’s quantitative survey data show that the benefit delivered to HPE Nimble Storage customers is significant, driving:

- 79% lower IT operational expenses.
- 73% fewer trouble tickets in the environment.
- 85% less time spent resolving storage-related trouble tickets.
- 69% faster time to resolution for events that necessitate level 3 support.
- The ability to manage and troubleshoot the entire infrastructure environment from a single, intelligent platform.

Market Overview

Organisations today operate in an inherently digital world. In a recent ESG survey, 45% of enterprise respondents (i.e., those employed by an organisation with 1,000 or more employees) reported their organisations run 500 or more business applications versus just 12% that run less than 100.¹ That means the average enterprise has hundreds of digital assets driving value for the organisation and, just as importantly, hundreds of potential failure points. Uptime and reliable performance are two of the most critical measures of success for an organisation’s applications and they are increasingly more important.

The scale of organisations’ application profiles is noteworthy and so is the variety of infrastructure that can underpin those applications. From traditional client-server architectures, through modern public and private cloud developments, to multi-cloud and hybrid deployments. Having the flexibility to select the right infrastructure for the right workload is a good thing. However, one consequence of this flexibility is infrastructure complexity, which increases both the frequency of problems and the amount of time it takes IT organisations to effectively resolve them.

IT professionals faced with these organisational dynamics are in an interesting position. On one hand, as their organisations become more digitised, the IT organisation becomes more central and visible in terms of business success. On the other hand, if the technology solutions administered by IT professionals are difficult to manage or fail to perform, the business impact can be very painful. An organisation may lose revenue, or damage customer relationships, and the operational costs associated with IT troubleshooting and lost productivity can quickly skyrocket. IT organisations bogged down with tactical troubleshooting and infrastructure management make poor partners to lines of business on an ever-accelerating digital journey.

The Enterprise Support Model Needs to Change

IT departments shouldn’t bear the burden alone. Vendors need to make infrastructure simpler and less time-consuming to manage. This includes resetting industry expectations and requirements on the enterprise support model. Vendor support can result in painful, drawn-out experiences. When something goes wrong, pinning down the root cause of the issue is difficult. Application vendors point the finger at server vendors, who pass the buck to the

networking vendors, who lay the responsibility at the feet of storage vendors, and on and on. Often, the customer is left trying to resolve the problem themselves (and sometimes the problems are never resolved).

Even when the customer knows which vendor to work with on a fix, the experience can be incredibly frustrating. Vendors route customers through several rounds of escalations (level 1 support for triage, level 2 support, etc.) and often require them to recreate problems and manually generate log data before they are connected with a support technician who can actually solve their problem.

The end result? There are too many problems to deal with and too many vendors in the stack playing the blame game, and applying a fix takes too long and consumes too many resources.

**HPE Nimble Storage’s Answer: HPE InfoSight Predictive Analytics**

Since its founding in 2008, HPE Nimble Storage has placed a priority on customer support and developed technology that fundamentally changes how storage is managed and supported, namely HPE InfoSight. The predictive analytics platform is built on an architecture that collects data from thousands of sensors across all deployed arrays and their surrounding infrastructure. HPE InfoSight aggregates, analyses, and correlates this data across the installed base, generating cloud-based predictive analytics that anticipate and prevent issues. HPE InfoSight gets customers ahead of problems before they occur, ensuring availability and performance.

- **Predictive Problem Resolution:** HPE InfoSight constantly looks for potential indicators of problems and proactively resolves issues uncovered. If it detects an issue in one system, it learns to predict the issue and prevent other systems in the installed base from seeing the same problem.

- **Global Visibility and Learning:** HPE InfoSight remediates issues spanning the entire infrastructure stack from storage to VMs and applies machine learning to predict and advise customers on future capacity, performance, and bandwidth needs.

- **Automation that Transforms Support:** By automating the resolution of level 1 and 2 problems, HPE InfoSight has allowed for a support centre staffed entirely with level 3 engineers. For customers, this means fewer support cases and faster time to resolve complex issues, avoiding the pain of escalating problems through multiple levels of support.

HPE Nimble Storage has created a much simpler storage experience through HPE InfoSight. For example, data collected by the platform shows that 86% of problems are automatically resolved before customers even realise there is an issue. Moreover, 54% of the problems resolved are outside of storage. The result is greater than 99.9999% of measured availability across its entire user base.²

---

² Aggregated customer metrics as reported by HPE Infosight.
Research Overview

Research Objectives

HPE Nimble Storage holds a high Net Promoter Score\(^3\) (85), an industry-recognised measurement of customer satisfaction, relative to the broader storage industry. Customers attribute this high level of satisfaction to the storage experience enabled by HPE InfoSight. HPE Nimble Storage wanted to better understand what the financial impact of predictive analytics and exceptional support has been on its customers.

To dig deeper into this question, HPE Nimble Storage commissioned ESG to conduct a quantitative survey of both current customers and non-customers. The goal of the research is to compare and contrast key cost and efficiency metrics that customers track and to gain insight into how customers feel about the manageability of their storage solutions.

The survey included 489 qualified respondents. To be qualified to participate in the survey, respondents must have been employed in an IT function, with significant day to day responsibility for managing data storage, and involvement in the organisation’s data storage purchase process (inclusive of determining technical requirements through approving purchases). Moreover, all respondents must have been employed at organisations with at least 100 employees.

The survey included respondents from the United States (65% of respondents), United Kingdom (20%), and Australia (16%). The survey also included a wide range of industry verticals, including: manufacturing (20%), financial services (13%), education (13%), government agencies (9%), health care (9%), and retail (9%), among others. Please see Appendix I: Research Methodology and Respondent Demographics for more details about the survey.

The quantitative research study was complemented by three qualitative interviews ESG conducted with HPE Nimble Storage customers. Key anecdotes and insights from those interviews are noted throughout this report.

Research Findings

IT organisations spend substantially less time and allocate fewer resources to managing storage

Within the scope of ESG’s survey were several questions related to the operational costs of managing storage. A major component of that operational cost is staff time, whether that represents full-time workers solely focussed on storage or IT generalists charged with managing storage as one part of their job. ESG found that fewer administrators tended to be bogged down administering storage within HPE Nimble Storage’s customers compared with non-customers. *Note: Data referenced in this section relates only to surveys completed by respondents working at enterprise organisations (i.e., those with 1,000 or more employees).*

Just 25% of HPE Nimble Storage customers reported that their organisation allocates dedicated personnel to administer storage compared with 65% of non-customers (see Figure 1). Moreover, among HPE Nimble Storage customers who do utilise dedicated storage administrators, the average number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) was reported as 1.21 while non-customers reported 2.83 FTEs, on average. This is important because storage specialists with deep knowledge in areas like configuring systems, provisioning storage, and tuning performance over time are hard to find.

\(^3\) An index ranging from -100 to 100 that measures the willingness of customers to recommend a company's products or services to others.
Of course, someone within the organisation must take ownership of storage administration tasks. Moreover, if an organisation does not employ storage specialists, but delegates tasks to numerous IT generalists who in turn spend a great deal of their time working with storage, the economic benefits from not utilising storage specialists may be quickly eroded. However, when respondents were asked how many individuals in their organisation, excluding any storage specialists, had storage administration responsibilities in their role, HPE Nimble Storage customers once again reported fewer (2.8 staff versus 5.1 staff among non-customers, see Figure 2). Additionally, when respondents were asked what percentage of these individuals’ time was spent on storage, HPE Nimble Storage customers on average estimated 8.9% compared with an average of 16.2% among non-customers.

Figure 2. Allocation of IT Generalists with Storage Administration Responsibilities, HPE Nimble Storage Customers Versus Non-customers

Excluding any dedicated storage administration personnel, how many IT staff/generalists in your IT organisation have storage administration responsibilities in their role? (Percent of respondents working at enterprises)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Staff/Generalists</th>
<th>HPE Nimble Storage customers (N=57)</th>
<th>Non-customers (N=208)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 4</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 7</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 10</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Enterprise Strategy Group, 2017
Modelling the financial impact on storage management

ESG developed a financial model to quantify the financial impact of allocating fewer staff, spending less of their time, on storage. However, it is important to note this is a “cost only” model; the financial implications of being able to redeploy valuable staff to more aspirational projects, thereby accelerating them, is not considered by this model. As shown by the model in Figure 3, based on an analysis of ESG’s survey data, HPE Nimble customers report 79\% lower annual storage staff OpEx when compared with non-customers surveyed.\(^4\)

Figure 3. Financial Analysis of Annual Storage Operational Expense, Based on ESG Survey Data

IT organisations encounter fewer issues and they are resolved faster

The previous section of this report discussed storage-centric staff allocations, a major part of the IT OpEx associated with supporting a storage environment. Another metric of interest is the amount of time consumed by troubleshooting and dealing with break-fix events. As noted in this report, HPE Nimble Storage has collected data that shows that 86\% of customers’ storage issues are remediated by HPE InfoSight before the customer is even aware of them.

Given this fact, it would be logical to assume that customers report they encounter fewer trouble tickets in their environment, an assumption validated by ESG’s research. When ESG asked respondents how many trouble tickets related to storage are submitted to the IT organisation in a typical month, nearly nine out of ten HPE Nimble Storage customers reported the number was less than five. By contrast, a plurality of non-customers reported 11 to 15 monthly tickets. An estimated average of the data collected shows that non-customers participating in this survey reported having to address 3.4 times as many tickets as HPE Nimble Storage customers (see Figure 4).

\(^4\)Assumptions used in this model included: an average administrator salary of $60,122, and a fully loaded labour ratio of 1.5 to account for employment costs like paid vacation and benefits.
More than just encountering fewer issues, HPE Nimble Storage customers also reported that issues tended to be resolved faster when compared with their counterparts at organisations that are not customers. When respondents were asked to estimate the average amount of time and effort it takes to fully resolve a storage trouble ticket, nearly 70% of HPE Nimble Storage customers reported an elapsed time of under one hour. By contrast, 61% of non-customers reported an elapsed time of one hour or more (see Figure 5).

Figure 5. Average Time to Resolve a Storage Trouble Ticket, HPE Nimble Storage Customers Versus Non-customers

On average, how much time and effort does it take for your organisation’s internal help desk group to fully resolve a storage trouble ticket? (Percent of respondents)

ESG’s survey data provides a wealth of evidence that HPE Nimble Storage arrays require less administration resources and encounter fewer issues, and, when issues are encountered, they are faster to resolve. But perhaps the most impactful statistic from the survey is that 95% of customers rate that HPE Nimble Storage arrays are easier to manage compared with other enterprise storage systems. Moreover, not a single customer ESG surveyed reported HPE Nimble Storage arrays were more difficult to manage than competitive solutions (see Figure 6).
Figure 6. Customer Assessment of HPE Nimble Storage’s Ease of Management Compared with Competitive Systems

Based on your experiences with HPE Nimble Storage compared to other enterprise-grade storage solutions, how would you rate the ease of management (frequency of issues, ease of troubleshooting, tuning the array, system reliability, etc.) of your arrays? (Percent of respondents, N=175)

- 95% of customers rate that HPE Nimble Storage arrays are easier to manage compared with other storage solutions
- HPE Nimble Storage arrays are easier to manage compared to other storage solutions I’ve worked with; 74%
- HPE Nimble Storage arrays are much easier to manage compared to other storage solutions I’ve worked with; 22%
- HPE Nimble Storage arrays are no easier or harder to manage compared to other storage solutions I’ve worked with; 5%

Source: Enterprise Strategy Group, 2017

Modelling the impact of fewer and faster-to-resolve storage tickets

Clearly, operating a storage environment that requires less attention from support staff has a benefit to the organisation. The data observed likely plays a role in the propensity of HPE Nimble Storage’s customers to operate smaller storage administration teams. ESG has used the survey data to create an annualised model of the impact on the IT operations and support organisation. As shown by the model in Figure 7, based on an analysis of ESG’s survey data, HPE Nimble Storage customers report that 85% fewer annualised person-hours are dedicated to resolving storage-related trouble tickets in their environments compared with non-customers surveyed.

Figure 7. Impact Analysis of Storage Trouble Ticket Differences

Annulised Person-hours for Ticket Resolution
- HPE Nimble Storage Customers: 38 Person-hours
- Non-customers: 247 Person-hours
- 85% improvement

Source: Enterprise Strategy Group, 2017
The pain of interfacing with traditional vendors

ESG’s research shows that HPE Nimble Storage customers report fundamentally better metrics related to supporting their storage environments. The research also asked respondents about their support experience when dealing with particularly thorny issues where they need to contact their vendor to help resolve an issue. Once again, the survey data indicates that HPE Nimble Storage customers encounter a much better experience.

As discussed, HPE InfoSight has allowed the vendor to construct a support team comprised entirely of level 3 engineers. If a customer calls HPE Nimble Storage, the intention is that the person on the other end of the line will be knowledgeable enough to solve the issue.

This is a fundamentally different approach compared with other vendors. Traditionally, vendors staff tiers of support engineers and route all support calls through an escalation process. For customers with a complex problem, this wastes time and creates frustration as they work their way through the queue. In fact, when ESG asked non-customers how long they expect it to take when contacting their primary storage vendor to reach a support engineer knowledgeable enough to solve their issue, a plurality (32%) reported one to two hours and the estimated average elapsed time was 84 minutes. By contrast, HPE Nimble Storage’s internal data shows that the average call-to-answer time for their support organisation is one minute. While ESG has not validated HPE Nimble Storage’s internal data, if the mean time to connect to a level 3 technician is anywhere near that fast, the delta is noteworthy.

A skeptical reader may say, “Any vendor can say its support engineers are all level 3, but the proof is in the results.” This is a fair point. However, ESG’s survey data supports the claim that HPE Nimble Storage customers are able to resolve issues that require the help of a senior support technician faster than the market at large. When ESG asked respondents how long it typically takes to identify the root cause and implement a resolution for particularly challenging issues, customers reported that the elapsed time was 69% shorter compared with non-customers (see Figure 8).
This paper briefly discussed a phenomenon that nearly any IT administrator can relate to. That is, when there is an issue in the environment, it can be very difficult to isolate a single solution provider in the stack to take ownership of the issue. Rather, a blame game often plays out where different vendors in the stack take turns telling the customer to seek help elsewhere. Once again, HPE InfoSight aims to upset this norm. HPE Nimble Storage claims it is intelligent enough to help customers with issues outside of storage and help implement fixes. Once again, ESG’s survey data supports this claim. When ESG asked respondents if they use HPE InfoSight to manage and troubleshoot issues across the environment, 71% reported they actively take advantage of this capability (see Figure 9).

As noted earlier, 54% of issues addressed by HPE Infosight reside outside of storage. HPE Nimble Storage reduces “finger-pointing” by helping customers even if the problem is unrelated to its storage array. There have been thousands of customers who have benefited from HPE Nimble Storage’s support diagnosing, predicting, and preventing problems related to the network, host, hypervisor, VMs, and applications.

“Predictive analytics, is the true differentiator for Nimble. Their big data approach, and the fact that they are actually acting on the data... They’ve seen a lot of data on the platform, the analytics engine has learned and grown and become incredibly solid.”

- Justin Giardina, CTO, iLand Internet Solutions

The extensibility of HPE InfoSight
The Bigger Truth

More than just a means to manage and troubleshoot storage, HPE InfoSight helps to resolve issues before customers even know they exist – throughout the entire infrastructure stack. The results observed among HPE Nimble Storage customers, and compared against a control group of non-customers, are significant.

Organisations seeking to increase IT agility, drive down IT OpEx, and free staff from mundane infrastructure support responsibilities so they can focus on more strategic initiatives would be well served to learn more about HPE InfoSight’s predictive analytics and how it can help them achieve these goals.
Appendix I: Research Methodology and Respondent Demographics

To gather the quantitative data for this report, ESG conducted a comprehensive online survey of IT decision makers from private- and public-sector organisations in the United States, United Kingdom, and Australia between 31 October 2016 and 9 May 2017. To qualify for this survey, respondents were required to have reported significant day to day responsibility for managing data storage, and involvement in the organisation’s data storage purchase process (inclusive of determining technical requirements through approving purchases). Moreover, all respondents must have been employed at organisations with at least 100 employees. All respondents were provided an incentive to complete the survey in the form of cash awards and/or cash equivalents.

After filtering out unqualified respondents, removing duplicate responses, and screening the remaining completed responses (on several criteria) for data integrity, a final sample of 489 respondents remained.

Note: Totals in figures and tables throughout this report may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

To gather the qualitative insights documented in this report, ESG conducted three 60-minute phone interviews with HPE Nimble Storage customers. Those interviews were facilitated by HPE and conducted independently by ESG.

The figures below detail the demographics of the respondent base from the quantitative survey, including respondents’ current job title, as well as respondent organisations’ total number of employees, and primary industry.

Respondents by Geographic Location

Figure 10. Survey Respondents, by Geographic Location

Source: Enterprise Strategy Group, 2017
Respondents by Job Title/Role

**Figure 11. Survey Respondents, by Job Title/Role**

Which of the following best describes your current role within your organisation? (Percent of respondents, N=489)

- CIO/CTO; 17%
- Director of IT; 32%
- Storage/SAN Manager/Administrator; 5%
- Servers/Systems Manager/Administrator; 16%
- Applications/Database Manager/Administrator; 3%
- IT Architect; 11%
- VP of IT; 6%
- Other IT Management/Staff; 8%

Source: Enterprise Strategy Group, 2017

Respondents by Number of Employees

**Figure 12. Survey Respondents, by Number of Employees**

How many total employees does your organisation have worldwide? (Percent of respondents, N=489)

- 1,000 to 2,499; 22%
- 2,500 to 4,999; 11%
- 5,000 to 9,999; 7%
- 10,000 to 19,999; 5%
- 20,000 or more; 9%
- 500 to 999; 16%
- 100 to 499; 29%

Source: Enterprise Strategy Group, 2017
Respondents by Industry

Respondents were asked to identify their organisation’s primary industry. In total, ESG received completed, qualified responses from individuals in 21 distinct vertical industries, plus an “Other” category. Respondents were then grouped into the broader categories shown in Figure 13.

**Figure 13. Survey Respondents, by Industry**
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